of the new International Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons.

Belgium’s lawmakers were prompted to reconsider the government’s “nuke sharing,” when in February three members of the European Parliament were arrested on Belgium’s Kleine Brogel base, after they boldly scaled a fence and carried a banner directly onto the runway (“Europe Free of Nuclear Weapons”).

Replacement Fighter Jets Set to Carry US Bombs

Back in Germany, defense minister Annegret Kramp-Karrenbauer raised an uproar April 19 after they boldly scaled a fence and carried a banner in the background in this Greenpeace photo.

Most of the attacks on the SPF leader sounded “Russian aggression” and claiming that nuclear sharing means “allies, like Germany, make joint decisions on nuclear policy and planning…” and “givel[e] allies a voice on nuclear matters that they would not otherwise have.”

This is flatly untrue, as Mutzenich made clear in his paper, calling it a “fiction” that the Pentagon nuclear strategy is influenced by US allies. “There is no influence or even a say by non-nuclear powers on the nuclear strategy or even the possible uses of nuclear [warheads]. This is nothing more than a long-held pius wish,” he wrote.

NATO’s Stoltenberg hastily penned a rebuttal for the May 11 Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung, using Spygate to warn about “Russian aggression” and claiming that nuclear sharing means “allies, like Germany, make joint decisions on nuclear policy and planning…” and “give[s] allies a voice on nuclear matters that they would not otherwise have.”

“…wildfires … have grown larger and more frequent. Each fire releases radionuclides, just as [Vasyl Yoschenko, a Ukrainian radio-ecologist] and his colleagues documented.... But none has incinerated the landscape at the scale of the fires that burned this past April. They were far larger than any since the 1986 disaster, burning for weeks and scorching nearly 165,600 acres.”

“…if Chernobyl forests burn, contamination will spread even more far and wide. And if the fires burn for weeks, the radioactive dust from the fires will drift up and be carried away by the wind.”

“…”forest fires are an ever-present danger to the natural environment and the wellbeing of the people who live near Chernobyl.”

“…”if Chernobyl fires burn, contaminants will spread far and wide.”

“…”firex” that burned over 400,000 acres in 1992….”

“…”none has incinerated the landscape at the scale of the fires that burned this past April. They were far larger than any since the 1986 disaster, burning for weeks and scorching nearly 165,600 acres.”

“…”no one knows how much was actually released: Yoschenko, Krupenkin, and claiming that nuclear...”

“…”if Chernobyl fires burn, contamination will spread even more far and wide.”

“…”the larger the area burned, the more...”

“…”most fires were small, burning...”

“…”…as has been documented...”

“…”if Chernobyl fires burn, contaminants will spread far and wide.”

“…”none has incinerated the landscape at the scale of the fires that burned this past April. They were far larger than any since the 1986 disaster, burning for weeks and scorching nearly 165,600 acres.”

“…”if Chernobyl fires burn, contamination will spread even more far and wide.”

“…”…if Chernobyl fires burn, contamination will spread even more far and wide.”